Delhi

My dear Jain Sahib

Thank you very much for your letter of April 12, 1988. I have gone through the Note. I am glad that you are devoting the attention to these matters. It is necessary that people like you are really looking into such matters because without giving deep thought to it I am afreid the situation could worsen. I. however, have my reservations in the suggestions given by you. So far as the question of Punjab is concerned, I am afraid your suggestion of taking away Abohar and Fazilka area from Punjeb and giving it to Haryana makes the position worse. The suggestion that Ganganagar being near to border cannot be managed if Abohar and Fazilka remain in Punjab is to cast doubt on the petriotism of Punjabis especially Sikhs. This itself will worsen the psychological atmosphere. Frankly I have my serious doubts whether the Central Government is serious in solving the Punjab matter. For years every one has been saying that Jodhnur detenues should be released and culprits of November 1984 should be punished but the Central Government has deliberately not done anything in the matter.

Re Item II:

So far as the question of small states is concerned I agree with you. I think there is no doubt that so far as M.P., and U.P., are concerned they need to be divided and possibly in 2-3 states out of each of them. I am afraid, however, that the question of spliting of A.P., and Tamilnadu is paved with emotional difficulty and at present it is not wise to suggest it.

The suggestion that Punjab should be further divided, according to me is totally un-acceptable. Punjab has been divided on language basis and there is no reasonable basis to divide Punjab simply because both Sikhs and Hindus are in equal proportion there is no reason why the state should be further sub-divided by creating either an overwhelming Sikh area like Pepsu; or, an over whelming Punjabi area by taking some portion of present Punjab out of the state. The suggestion to divide Punjab will obviously smellor communalism and would not help in creating mutual faith and confidence.

Re Item III

You are quite right. The consistent view is that as there is nothing in the Constitution by which the Minister is to loose his seat if he violates his Oath of office. The court is power in giving the remedy. In my view that is a correct approach because to permit the courts to decide whether High Court of Surema Court) that any Minister has violated the Oath of office is seriously to impringe on separation of power between executive and judiciary. The proper remedy is for people opinion to assert itself and remove the corrupt and those who have violated the Oath,

Re Item IV

So far as the amendment of election law is concerned, your suggestion is well taken, We have been for long advocating the system of adopting multi-seat constituency and also including list system as in Western Germany. We have also been advocating state funding which may possibly reduce the role of black money in elections,

Once again, let me congratulate you in taking up these matters. I hope we will have occasions to discuss this matter in detail. I would be very happy when you come to Delhi and if you could find time so that we could discuss these matters. With best wishes.

Yours Sincerely, (RAJINDER SACHER)

Mr. Mool Chand Jain. Deputs Chairman

Copy of seme Letters written and received by Shri Jain from SERVIN HEISTER THE former Prime Ministers etc.

No. 224J-PMH/90

10. 34

PRIME MINISTERS HOUSE NEW DELHI November 9, 1960

Dear Mool Chandji, your two letters dated 7th November.

Vice not noticingo oo bris grabasia In one of these letters, you suggest that should visit some village near Jind, It is always a pleasure to go to these places where good work is being done, but I am afraid I cannot find time to go anywhere in the foreseeable future.

> and March Chand John. Yours sincerely, Jewaharlal Nehru

Control control

Shri Mool Chand Jain. MP, KARNAL

> PRIME MINISTER'S HOUSE, NEW BELHI, May 3, 1965

THE STREET OF THE PROPERTY OF PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF TH

\$ 1. The second

Conches lain Pear Shri Jain. I have received your letter of the 29th April, 1965. and thank you very much for the suggestions you have made. I shall certainly consider them. 47 B 71

> Yours sincerely, (La! Bahadur) AP SER DUTY

(FFE)

premisely pull from

Shri Mool Chand Jain, Ex. M,P,, 11-C, Sector 3, Chandigarh Property Change of the Change of

प्रधान मन्त्री मदन most make half yet bestmen and meltine emiled some in PRIME MINISTER HOUSE **NEW DELHI**

March 27, 1967

Dear Shri Jain,

Thank you for your greetings and good wishes.

I am confident that I can count upon your understanding and co-operation for solving and over-coming the many problems that confront the nation.

Yours sincerely, (Indira Gandhi)

Shri Mool Chand Jain, Minist**e**r, Government of Haryana, Chandigarh

DEPUTY PPIME MINISTER (FINANCE)

नई दिल्ली

मार्च 23, 1979

प्रिय मूल चन्द जी,

म्रापका 8 जनवरी का पत्र व साथ में दिनांक 10 दिसम्बर 1978 के उर्दू पत्न का हिन्दी भ्रमुबाद मिला। मैं उस समय व्यस्तता किनरण उत्तर न दे सका। मेरे प्रति ग्रापके जो विचार है, उनके लिए मैं श्रीपका आमारी हैं। जो आकांक्षार्थे आपमे व अस्य हिले किसों ले सुभते की हैं, बरहें पूरा करने के लिए में हर सम्माव अवक्षत कर सहादूर स्त्रीर केरी हृदय से इच्छा है कि गांधी जी के माधिक प्रोग्राम पर ग्रमल स्वी बिद्या में में कुछ सज्ञवत योगदान कर सकूं।

धापका चरण सिंह

अती मूल चन्द जैन, मन्स्री, बित्त विमाग, हरियागा चण्डीगढ

श्री जैन का 10 12-78 का पत्र आगे दिया है -Editor

योजना बोर्ड का उपाध्यक्ष पद क्यों छोड़ा ? इस विषय पर लिखे पत्र तथा उसके उपरान्त महम कांड बारे बाबू जो के समाचार पत्रों में दिए गए वकत्वय तथा भ्रन्य लेख जिनसे बाबू जी सहमत हैं।